Getting Down to Business

The Seattle City Council convened a task force in early 2018 to identify progressive sources of revenue to assist people who are experiencing homelessness or at high risk of becoming homeless. Housing affordability is a key component in the complex causes of homelessness. The task force came up with different options for taxing large businesses to address the homelessness emergency, largely creating housing & funding services. The Progressive Tax on Business is a combination of some of those proposals.

Who would be taxed?

The proposed Progressive Tax on Business only impacts the biggest businesses in Seattle, those making $20 million a year or more. That’s about 3% of all businesses in the city.

3% of Seattle businesses would pay the proposed tax

Employee Hours Tax, Starting 2019

These big businesses would pay an additional $0.26 per hour for each employee working in Seattle or $500 per employee / year. For example, if a company paid an employee $40 / hour, the company would end up paying $40.26 / hour instead.

Replaced by a Payroll Tax, Starting 2021

Beginning in 2021, the Employee Hours Tax will be phased out and replaced with a progressive Payroll Tax, which will tax higher salaries more than lower ones, raising roughly the same total amount of money: $75 million / year.

Where will the money, $75 million, go?

The tax would generate about $75 million / year. The vast majority of this revenue, about 75%, would fund more affordable housing. 20% would go towards emergency and shelter services for people experiencing homelessness.

75% or ~$57 million / year on average, would be spent on building deeply affordable units to address homelessness

20% or $15 million / year, would be spent on services for people experiencing homelessness

- Expanding criminal justice diversion programs
- Providing basic services to 97 households living in their cars / year
- Improving health by expanding sanitation & hygiene services
- Removing 100,000 pounds of garbage from the streets / year
- Creating 100 tiny homes
- Maintaining an additional 362 shelter beds / year
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Why does Seattle have an obligation to address homelessness?

Local government funds housing and services for thousands of people experiencing homelessness each year, but the need is growing faster than we can keep up with.

8,522 people experiencing homelessness were counted in Seattle in 2017, 73% of total homelessness in King County. Local government has been building more affordable housing, but not enough to keep up with the demand. The growing gap is visible to the public, even though we’ve been investing much more to address the crisis. For example, in 2017, city-funded programs exited 3,400 people from homelessness into permanent housing.

There is a lag between investing in affordable housing and housing being available.

Securing funding, designing and building affordable housing takes 2-3 years, so the results aren’t apparent right away. As an example, the city has nearly 2,600 affordable units under construction right now.
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Why does Seattle have an obligation to address homelessness?

It’s the right thing to do.

Seattle is a wealthy city. Like many other cities across the country, we have seen massive upticks in homelessness. Under the City Charter, we’re obligated to protect and enhance the general welfare of people. We have an opportunity to treat all of our residents humanely & with dignity.

Creating housing saves money.

Not only is it the right thing to do, but creating housing for people experiencing homelessness saves money over time, reducing costs related to hospitalization and the criminal justice system.

It’s up to us as a city to address homelessness.

Even though Seattle declared a state of civil emergency on homelessness in 2015, insufficient federal support has been provided. The federal government isn’t going to solve this crisis. It is up to us as a city to do our part and to work with our regional partners to address the structural causes of homelessness. We already heavily rely upon property taxes and sales taxes to fund our government. A Progressive Tax on Business is the best remaining tool to address this crisis.